## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

WALEED HAMED, as the Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,
vs.
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION
Defendants and Counterclaimants.
vS.
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants,

WALEED HAMED, as the Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED, Plaintiff,
vs.
UNITED CORPORATION, Defendant.

WALEED HAMED, as the Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED, Plaintiff
vs.
FATHI YUSUF, Defendant.

FATHI YUSUF, Plaintiff, vs.

MOHAMMAD A. HAMED TRUST, et al, Defendants.

KAC357 Inc., Plaintiff,
vs.
HAMED/YUSUF PARTNERSHIP,
Defendant.

Case No.: SX-2012-CV-370
ACTION FOR DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Consolidated with
Case No.: SX-2014-CV-287

Consolidated with
Case No.: SX-2014-CV-278

Consolidated with
Case No.: ST-17-CV-384

Consolidated with
Case No.: ST-18-CV-219

## I. Introduction

Yusuf Claim Y-10 collects several unrelated ledger entry amounts on an included spreadsheet. ${ }^{1}$ Chart A. Except for three of those entries which will not be affected by this motion, ${ }^{2}$ all of the amounts set forth on that spreadsheet have either (1) been dealt with by the Master in orders, or (2) are being dealt with in other, existing claims. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, all Hamed seeks in this motion is to streamline this claim down to the remaining amounts - to significantly simplify the remaining discovery and the eventual hearing. The three items that will remain for determination here are circled on the following Charts B and $\mathbf{C}$.

1. The Court entered an order that removes the $\$ 2.7$ million of the original claim.
2. The Court entered an Order that removes the $\$ 1.6$ million of the original claim.
3. The Parties settled all claims of attorney and professional fees. (The Court entered orders dismissing them on joint motions -- with a small residual amount now being dealt with in a separate claim. ${ }^{4}$ )
4. The contested amounts for two foreign accounts that were included in the spreadsheet (August $15^{\text {th }}$ letter, Exhibit C) $\$ 88,711$ and $\$ 89,392$. should properly be dealt with in Yusuf Claim Y-12 (Foreign Accounts and Jordanian Property).
[^0]
## HAMED'S SIXTH REQUEST FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

RFPD 37 of 50: Please produce any and all documents relating to gifts given by Fathi Yusuf (and/or is wife) to Mafi Hamed and Shawn Hamed and/or their spouses at the time of their weddings to Yusuf daughters.

RFPD 38 of 50: Please produce any and all documents relating to gifts given by United Corporation to Mafi Hamed and Shawn Hamed and/or their spouses at the time of their weddings to Yusuf daughters.
${ }^{4}$ There is $\$ 332,900.42$ of attorney fees still in dispute, but that is no longer in this claim as it has, by stipulation, been moved to a separate joint motion to be filed by the parties.
5. The contested matching amounts of $\$ 1.5$ million each for wedding checks are already being addressed in Hamed's Claim H-1515

Thus, to avoid the protracted " B " process of a motion to compel, the additional discovery then owed by Yusuf and the scheduled depositions as to these amounts, Hamed seeks a determination based on the pure mathematical calculation that follows from acknowledging that these amounts are removed. This will leave a claim that will be simple.

## II. Statement of Material Facts Not in DIspute

There are no facts not already of record.
The only facts relevant to this motion are (1) the spreadsheet shown on the next page that was filed by Yusuf in support of this claim (Chart A), and (2) that exact same spreadsheet (also on the following pages) showing the results after the amounts discussed here are removed (Chart B), the $\$ 2.7$ million judgment, the $\$ 1.6$ million judgment, and the settlement agreement as to legal fees that is 'so ordered" and of record. Chart C shows the resultant claim that would be left for discovery and hearing.

In other words, the facts of this are merely the simple updating of Yusuf's own spreadsheet to reflect what has happened in this case subsequent to the filing of Yusuf's original claim.

[^1]

|  | HEmea |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tiesanision | $\underline{0004}$ | Naleas | Whinert |  | (italem | HE |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Funds received from partnership through checks | \% 1,500,000,00 | 5 - | 5 | 5 | 5 - | $5 \quad 1,500,000.00$ |
|  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Withdrawals from the partnership with a signed ticket/receipt |  | 237,352.75 | - | - | - | 237,352.75 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount owed by Hamed family to Yusuf as per agreement before raid Sept 2001. As per Mike's testimony these tickets were burned. (Refer to Letter dated hugust 15, 2012) |  | $1,778,103.00$ | 3 | - | - | 1,778,103.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments to third partias on behalf of Hamed/Yusuf with partnership funds eithgt with tikkets or checks |  | 20,311.00 | - | - | - | 20,311.00 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments to Attorners with partnerships funds | - | 3,749,495.48 | 372,155.95 |  | - | 4,121,651.43 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| funds recelved by casher's checks | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| , |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Iotal Fartnership | 1,500,000.00 | 5,785,262,23 | 312,155.95 | - |  | 7,651,418,56 |

The underlying spreadsheets submitted by Yusuf show that this amount is made up of three items - each of which is now out of this claim,

1. The Master dismissed a $\$ 1.6$ million claim by Yusuf 9/24/2018 2. A Foreign Account Claim by Yusuf for $\$ 88,711.00$ that Wally allegedly withdrew from a St. Martin Bank in 2011 or 2012. This is properly handled under Yusuf claim Y-12 3. A Foreign Account Claim by Yusuf For \$89,392,00 from Jordan (Same).
Summary of Withdrawals


[^2] After the U.S. Marshals began monitoring the accounts, both sides agreed to disburse "gifts" for weddings of their children, BDO simply charged both sides $\$ 1.5$ million-here for Hamed and as part of Yusuf's claim over to the right under " $D$ ".

## for withdrawals before 9/17/2006 as instructed by the Court)

# Chart C 

The underlying spreadsheets submitted by Yusuf show that this amount is made up of two items - both of which are now out of this claim.

1. The Master dismissed a $\$ 2.7$ million
2. The other half or the "wedding amount of $\$ 1,5$ million to Yusuf explained under Part " $A$ " to the left - for the wedding. NOTE: The amounts that were not deleted will be all that remains of this claim: $\$ 237,352.75$ and $\$ 20,311.00$ to Mohammad and $\$ 2,000$ to Maher Yusuf.

## III. ARGUMENT

The following items changed from the original Yusuf filing and the amended BDO spreadsheet:
6. The Court entered an order that removes the $\$ 2.7$ million of the original claim.
7. The Court entered an Order that removes the $\$ 1.6$ million of the original claim.
8. The Parties settled all claims of attys fees -- and the Court entered an Order dismissing them with a small residual amount now being dealt with in a separate claim.
9. The contested amounts for foreign accounts that were included in the spreadsheet (August $15^{\text {th }}$ letter, Exhibit C) $\$ 88,711$ and $\$ 89,392$. should properly be dealt with in Yusuf Claim Y-12 (Foreign Accounts and Jordanian Property)
10. The contested matching amounts of $\$ 1.5$ million each for wedding checks are already being addressed in Hamed's Claim H-1516

As stated above, except for three of those entries (circled) which will not be affected by this motion, ${ }^{7}$ all of the amounts set forth on that spreadsheet have either (1) been dealt with by the Master in orders, or (2) will be dealt with in other, existing claims.

## IV. CONCLUSION

This motion for partial summary judgment is more of a ministerial request that a dispute. Hamed hopes that Yusuf will join in the motion to streamline the process.
${ }^{6}$ Hamed accepts the Yusuf counterargument as to this amount is a counterclaim to Hamed's claim regarding the identical withdrawals.
${ }^{7} \$ 88,711$ and $\$ 89,392$ are in two foreign accounts claims that are properly handled in Yusuf's claim Y-12 (Foreign Accounts), and \$3 million involves checks withdrawn from the Partnership and used as a wedding present that is fully addressed in Hamed Claim H-151 (Checks Written to Fathi Yusuf for Personal Use). The following March 21, 2018 discovery was propounded regarding this wedding claim (at page 2)

HAMED'S SIXTH REQUEST FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
RFPD 37 of 50: Please produce any and all documents relating to gifts given by Fathi Yusuf (and/or is wife) to Mafi Hamed and Shawn Hamed and/or their spouses at the time of their weddings to Yusuf daughters.

RFPD 38 of 50: Please produce any and all documents relating to gifts given by United Corporation to Mafi Hamed and Shawn Hamed and/or their spouses at the time of their weddings to Yusuf daughters.

Dated: July 31, 2021


Joel H. Holt, Esq.
Counsel for Plaintiff
Law Offices of Joel H. Holt
2132 Company Street,
Christiansted, VI 00820
Email: holtvi@aol.com
Tele: (340) 773-8709
Fax: (340) 773-8670

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 31st day of July 2021, I served a copy of the foregoing by email (via CaseAnywhere), as agreed by the parties, on:

## Hon. Edgar Ross

Special Master
edgarrossjudge@hotmail.com
Charlotte Perrell
Stefan Herpel
Law House, 10000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756

St. Thomas, VI 00802
Cperrell@dnfvi.com
Sherpel@dnfvi.com


## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 6-1(e)

This document complies with the page or word limitations set forth in Rule 6-1(e).



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ After Judge Brady entered the Limitations Order, Yusuf correctly had BDO remove entries for amounts prior to September 17, 2006, that were originally claimed in Y-10.
    ${ }^{2}$ The three items not involved in thus motion that will remain in this claim are circled on the chart exhibits: $\$ 237,352.75, \$ 20,311$ and $\$ 2,000-$ as they may involve issues of fact.
    ${ }^{3} \$ 88,711$ and $\$ 89,392$ are in two foreign accounts claims that are properly handled in Yusuf's claim Y-12 (Foreign Accounts), and \$3 million involves checks withdrawn from the Partnership and used as a wedding present that is fully addressed in Hamed Claim H-151 (Checks Written to Fathi for Personal Use). The following March 21, 2018 discovery was propounded regarding this wedding claim:

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Hamed accepts the Yusuf counterargument as to this amount is a counterclaim to Hamed's claim regarding the identical withdrawals. In fact, since the settlement of the domestic relations case that caused this filing, it is quite likely that this claim will be settled by the parties.

[^2]:    The underlying spreadsheets submitted by Yusuf show that this amount is made up of three items - each of which is now out of this claim,

    1. The Master dismissed a $\$ 1.6$ million claim by Yusuf 9/24/2018 2. A Foreign Account Claim by Yusuf for $\$ 88,711.00$ that Wally allegedly withdrew from a St. Martin Bank in 2011 or 2012. This is properly handled under Yusuf claim Y-12 3. A Foreign Account Claim by Yusuf For $\$ 89,392,00$ from Jordan (Same).
